



Global components of positive bounded variation solutions of a one-dimensional indefinite quasilinear Neumann problem

Giornate di Equazioni Differenziali Ordinarie: metodi e prospettive Ancona, 27-29 settembre 2018

Pierpaolo Omari

Università degli Studi di Trieste Dipartimento di Matematica e Geoscienze Sezione di Matematica e Informatica E_mail: omari@units.it

Statement of the problem

A quasilinear elliptic Neumann problem

Consider the problem

$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}\left(\frac{\nabla u}{\sqrt{1+|\nabla u|^2}}\right) = f(x,u) & \text{ in } \Omega\\ -\frac{\nabla u \cdot \nu}{\sqrt{1+|\nabla u|^2}} = \kappa(x) & \text{ on } \partial\Omega \end{cases}$$

- Ω is a bounded domain in ℝ^N, with a Lipschitz boundary ∂Ω and unit outer normal ν
- $f: \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a Carathéodory function
- $\kappa \in L^{\infty}(\partial \Omega)$

Motivations

Classical issues

- Cartesian surfaces with prescribed mean curvature
- Capillarity phenomena for incompressible fluids
- Equilibrium configurations for sessile, or pendant, drops

More recent ones include

- Reaction-diffusion processes with saturation of the flux at high regimes
- Capillarity phenomena for compressible fluids
- Mathematical models in human physiology
- MEMS models with capillarity effects

► LBNL: this problem is challenging also from the purely mathematical point of view.

Features of the operator and consequences

Features

•
$$|\nabla u| \ll 1$$
: $\operatorname{div}\left(\frac{\nabla u}{\sqrt{1+|\nabla u|^2}}\right) \approx \operatorname{div}(\nabla u) = \Delta u$
• $|\nabla u| \gg 1$: $\operatorname{div}\left(\frac{\nabla u}{\sqrt{1+|\nabla u|^2}}\right) \approx \operatorname{div}\left(\frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|}\right) = \Delta_1 u$

Thus:

- the mean curvature operator is not homogeneous
- the mean curvature operator is not uniformly elliptic

Consequences

Even in simple situations, there may occur

- non-existence phenomena
- Ioss of regularity

Features of the operator and consequences

Features

•
$$|\nabla u| \ll 1$$
: $\operatorname{div}\left(\frac{\nabla u}{\sqrt{1+|\nabla u|^2}}\right) \approx \operatorname{div}(\nabla u) = \Delta u$
• $|\nabla u| \gg 1$: $\operatorname{div}\left(\frac{\nabla u}{\sqrt{1+|\nabla u|^2}}\right) \approx \operatorname{div}\left(\frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|}\right) = \Delta_1 u$

Thus:

- the mean curvature operator is not homogeneous
- the mean curvature operator is not uniformly elliptic

Consequences

Even in simple situations, there may occur

- non-existence phenomena
- Ioss of regularity

Features

Features of the operator and consequences

Features

•
$$|\nabla u| \ll 1$$
: $\operatorname{div}\left(\frac{\nabla u}{\sqrt{1+|\nabla u|^2}}\right) \approx \operatorname{div}(\nabla u) = \Delta u$
• $|\nabla u| \gg 1$: $\operatorname{div}\left(\frac{\nabla u}{\sqrt{1+|\nabla u|^2}}\right) \approx \operatorname{div}\left(\frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|}\right) = \Delta_1 u$

Thus:

- the mean curvature operator is not homogeneous
- the mean curvature operator is not uniformly elliptic

Consequences

Even in simple situations, there may occur

- non-existence phenomena
- Ioss of regularity

A very elementary, but paradigmatic, example

Consider the 1-D autonomous equation

$$-\left(\frac{u'}{\sqrt{1+{u'}^2}}\right)'=f(u)$$

• $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ continuous, odd, f(s) > 0 for s > 0

• solutions (*u*, *u'*) parametrize the level sets of the energy

$$E(u, u') = 1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + {u'}^2}} + F(u), \qquad F(u) = \int_0^u f(u) du$$

• let $(u(0), u'(0)) = (u_0, 0)$

A very elementary, but paradigmatic, example

Consider the 1-D autonomous equation

$$-\left(\frac{u'}{\sqrt{1+{u'}^2}}\right)'=f(u)$$

- $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ continuous, odd, f(s) > 0 for s > 0
- solutions (*u*, *u'*) parametrize the level sets of the energy

$$E(u, u') = 1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + {u'}^2}} + F(u), \qquad F(u) = \int_0^u f(u) du$$

• let $(u(0), u'(0)) = (u_0, 0)$

Case: $F(u_0) < 1$

Phase-plane portrait





Small energy orbits (blue) are compact connected \longrightarrow regular solutions

Case: $F(u_0) = 1$

Phase-plane portrait





There is a first orbit (green) disconnected and asymptotic to the vertical axis \longrightarrow continuous non-regular solution

Case: $F(u_0) > 1$

Phase-plane portrait

Profile of solutions



Large energy orbits (orange) are all disconnected and asymptotic to distinct vertical lines \longrightarrow singular (discontinuous) solutions

Notion of solution

- Even in 1-D, non-regular solutions, having vertical tangents, or jump discontinuities, appear and coexist with regular solutions
- An appropriate notion of solution is needed to describe these patters:

if a function exhibits jumps, its distributional derivative is a measure having a singular component w.r. to the Lebesgue measure \longrightarrow bounded variation function

• A brief overview of the literature:

- generalized solution: Giaquinta, Giusti (early seventies)
- pseudo-solution: Temam, Lischnewski (early seventies) (see also Ekeland, Ladyzhenskaya, Ural'tseva, Marcellini, Miller, Kawohl, Kutev, ...)
- bounded variation solution: Anzellotti (mid eighties)

Notion of solution

- Even in 1-D, non-regular solutions, having vertical tangents, or jump discontinuities, appear and coexist with regular solutions
- An appropriate notion of solution is needed to describe these patters:

if a function exhibits jumps, its distributional derivative is a measure having a singular component w.r. to the Lebesgue measure \longrightarrow bounded variation function

- A brief overview of the literature:
 - generalized solution: Giaquinta, Giusti (early seventies)
 - pseudo-solution: Temam, Lischnewski (early seventies) (see also Ekeland, Ladyzhenskaya, Ural'tseva, Marcellini, Miller, Kawohl, Kutev, ...)
 - bounded variation solution: Anzellotti (mid eighties)

Bounded variation functions

A function v ∈ BV(Ω) if v ∈ L¹(Ω) and its distributional gradient is a vector valued Radon measure with finite total variation ∫_Ω |Dv|.

• If $v \in BV(\Omega)$,

$$Dv = (Dv)^a dx + (Dv)^s$$

is the Lebesgue-Nikodym decomposition of the Radon measure Dv in its absolutely continuous part $(Dv)^a dx$, with density function $(Dv)^a$, and its singular part $(Dv)^s$, with respect to the Lebesgue measure in \mathbb{R}^N . Moreover, $\frac{Dv}{|Dv|}$ stands for the density function of Dv with respect to its absolute variation |Dv|.

Notion of BV solution

BV solution: Anzellotti (1985)

 $u \in BV(\Omega)$ is a BV solution of

$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}\left(\nabla u/\sqrt{1+|\nabla u|^2}\right) = f(x,u) & \text{ in } \Omega\\ -\nabla u \cdot \nu/\sqrt{1+|\nabla u|^2} = \kappa(x) & \text{ on } \partial\Omega \end{cases}$$

if, for every $\phi \in BV(\Omega)$ such that $|D\phi^s| \ll |Du^s|$,

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{(Du)^{a} (D\phi)^{a}}{\sqrt{1 + |(Du)^{a}|^{2}}} dx + \int_{\Omega} \frac{Du^{s}}{|Du^{s}|} D\phi^{s}$$
$$= \int_{\Omega} f(x, u)\phi dx - \int_{\partial\Omega} \kappa \phi d\mathcal{H}_{N-1}.$$

Comparison with $W^{1,1}$ solutions

$W^{1,1}$ solution

 $u \in W^{1,1}(\Omega)$ is a $W^{1,1}$ solution of

$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}\left(\nabla u/\sqrt{1+|\nabla u|^2}\right) = f(x,u) & \text{in }\Omega\\ -\nabla u \cdot \nu/\sqrt{1+|\nabla u|^2} = \kappa(x) & \text{on }\partial\Omega \end{cases}$$

if, for every $\phi \in W^{1,1}(\Omega)$,

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{Du \, D\phi}{\sqrt{1+|Du|^2}} \, dx$$
$$= \int_{\Omega} f(x,u)\phi \, dx - \int_{\partial\Omega} \kappa \phi \, d\mathcal{H}_{N-1}.$$

Euler equation in $BV(\Omega)$

 $u \in BV(\Omega)$ is a BV solution of

$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}\left(\nabla u/\sqrt{1+|\nabla u|^2}\right) = f(x,u) & \text{ in } \Omega\\ -\nabla u \cdot \nu/\sqrt{1+|\nabla u|^2} = \kappa(x) & \text{ on } \partial\Omega \end{cases}$$

if, for every $\phi \in BV(\Omega)$ such that $|D\phi^s| \ll |Du^s|$,

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{(Du)^{a} (D\phi)^{a}}{\sqrt{1 + |(Du)^{a}|^{2}}} dx + \int_{\Omega} \frac{Du^{s}}{|Du^{s}|} D\phi^{s}$$
$$= \int_{\Omega} f(x, u)\phi dx - \int_{\partial\Omega} \kappa \phi d\mathcal{H}_{N-1}.$$

This is the Euler equation in $BV(\Omega)$ of the functional

$$\int_{\Omega} \sqrt{1+|(Du)^a|^2} \, dx + \int_{\Omega} |Du^s| - \int_{\Omega} F(x,u) \, dx,$$

with $F(x,s) = \int_0^s f(x,t) \, dt.$

Several (even recent) results concerning the existence and multiplicity of (classical or BV) solutions of

$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}\left(\nabla u/\sqrt{1+|\nabla u|^2}\right) = f(x,u) & \text{ in } \Omega\\ -\nabla u \cdot \nu/\sqrt{1+|\nabla u|^2} = \kappa(x) & \text{ on } \partial \Omega \end{cases}$$

are available in the literature under different configurations of the prescribed curvature f,

YET very little is known about positive, classical or BV, solutions,

in spite of the fact that this topic has been widely investigated in the literature, starting with the late eighties, in the semilinear case or in the quasilinear case, but mainly devoted to the *p*-laplacian, or variations thereof: Bandle, Pozio, Tesei; Berestycki, Capuzzo Dolcetta, Nirenberg; Alama, Tarantello;

Several (even recent) results concerning the existence and multiplicity of (classical or BV) solutions of

$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}\left(\nabla u/\sqrt{1+|\nabla u|^2}\right) = f(x,u) & \text{ in } \Omega\\ -\nabla u \cdot \nu/\sqrt{1+|\nabla u|^2} = \kappa(x) & \text{ on } \partial \Omega \end{cases}$$

are available in the literature under different configurations of the prescribed curvature f,

YET very little is known about positive, classical or BV, solutions,

in spite of the fact that this topic has been widely investigated in the literature, starting with the late eighties, in the semilinear case or in the quasilinear case, but mainly devoted to the *p*-laplacian, or variations thereof: Bandle, Pozio, Tesei; Berestycki, Capuzzo Dolcetta, Nirenberg; Alama, Tarantello;

With J. López-Gómez and S. Rivetti we began this study, starting from the simplest 1-D non-autonomous model

$$\begin{cases} -\left(\frac{u'}{\sqrt{1+u'^2}}\right)' = \lambda a(x)f(u) & \text{ in }]0,1[\\ u'(0) = u'(1) = 0 \end{cases}$$

•
$$a \in L^1(0,1)$$

• $f: [0, +\infty[\rightarrow [0, +\infty[\text{ continuous }$

• $\lambda > 0$ (inverse diffusivity coefficient)

Notation

- u > 0 if $u \ge 0$ and $u \ne 0$
- $u \gg 0$ if ess inf u > 0

With J. López-Gómez and S. Rivetti we began this study, starting from the simplest 1-D non-autonomous model

$$\begin{cases} -\left(\frac{u'}{\sqrt{1+u'^2}}\right)' = \lambda a(x)f(u) & \text{ in }]0,1[\\ u'(0) = u'(1) = 0 \end{cases}$$

• $a \in L^1(0,1)$

•
$$f: [0, +\infty[\rightarrow [0, +\infty[\text{ continuous }]])$$

• $\lambda > 0$ (inverse diffusivity coefficient)

Notation

- u > 0 if $u \ge 0$ and $u \not\equiv 0$
- $u \gg 0$ if ess inf u > 0

Program

Aim to study

- existence, or non-existence
- multiplicity
- regularity (partial, or complete)
- structure of the set of positive solutions

Approach

Combination of

- elliptic regularization
- critical point theory, or topological degree
- bifurcation methods
- ODE techniques

Program

Aim to study

- existence, or non-existence
- multiplicity
- regularity (partial, or complete)
- structure of the set of positive solutions

Approach

Combination of

- elliptic regularization
- critical point theory, or topological degree
- bifurcation methods
- ODE techniques

Necessary conditions for existence

I necessary condition

- \exists BV solution u > 0
- a ≠ 0
- f(s) > 0 for s > 0
- $\implies a(\cdot)$ changes sign \longrightarrow the problem is indefinite in sign

II necessary condition

- \exists BV solution $u \gg 0$
- *a* ≢ 0
- f(0) = 0 and f'(s) > 0 for s > 0

 $\implies a^+ \not\equiv 0$ and $\int_0^{\infty} a < 0$

Necessary conditions for existence

I necessary condition

- \exists BV solution u > 0
- *a* ≢ 0
- f(s) > 0 for s > 0
- $\implies a(\cdot)$ changes sign \longrightarrow the problem is indefinite in sign

II necessary condition

• \exists BV solution $u \gg 0$

• f(0) = 0 and f'(s) > 0 for s > 0

 $\implies a^+ \not\equiv 0$ and $\int_0^1 a < 0$

Sufficient conditions for existence



Sufficient conditions for existence

Assumptions on the weight a(x)

(a) a(x) > 0 a.e. in an interval and $\int_{0}^{1} a < 0$ Assumptions on the potential $F(s) = \int_0^s f$ Recall: $(u'/\sqrt{1+u'^2})' \approx u''$ at 0, $(u'/\sqrt{1+u'^2})' \approx (u'/|u'|)'$ at ∞ .

Sufficient conditions for existence

Assumptions on the weight a(x)

(a) a(x) > 0 a.e. in an interval and $\int_{1}^{1} a < 0$ Assumptions on the potential $F(s) = \int_0^s f(s) ds$ Recall: $(u'/\sqrt{1+u'^2})' \approx u''$ at 0, $(u'/\sqrt{1+u'^2})' \approx (u'/|u'|)'$ at ∞ . at 0 at $+\infty$ (Q_0) $F(s) \approx s^2$ (L_{∞}) $F(s) \approx s$ $(\operatorname{super} Q_0)$ $F(s) \approx s^p$ p > 2 $(\operatorname{super} L_\infty)$ $F(s) \approx s^q$ q > 1(sub L_{∞}) $F(s) \approx s^q \quad q < 1$ $(\operatorname{sub} Q_0)$ $F(s) \approx s^p$ 1

Existence, non-existence, multiplicity of positive BV solutions Assume (*a*). Then:

- (super Q_0) and (super L_{∞}) $\implies \forall \lambda > 0 \exists \ge 1 \text{ pos. BV sol.}$
- $(\operatorname{sub} Q_0)$ and $(\operatorname{sub} L_\infty) \implies \forall \lambda > 0 \exists \geq 1 \text{ pos. BV sol.}$
- $(\operatorname{sub} Q_0)$ and $(\operatorname{super} L_\infty) \implies \forall \lambda \ll 1 \exists \ge 2 \text{ pos. BV sols}$ and $\forall \lambda \gg 1 \not\exists \text{ pos. BV sol.}$
- (super Q_0) and (sub L_{∞}) $\implies \forall \lambda \gg 1 \exists \ge 2 \text{ pos. BV sols}$ and $\forall \lambda \ll 1 \not\exists \text{ pos. BV sol.}$

- $a(\cdot)$ one sign a.e. in $]\alpha, \beta[\implies u \in W^{2,1}_{loc}(\alpha, \beta) \cap W^{1,1}(\alpha, \beta)$
- $a(x) \ge 0$ a.e. in $]\alpha, \beta[$ and $a(x) \le 0$ a.e. in $]\beta, \gamma[\implies u \in W^{2,1}_{loc}(\alpha, \gamma)$ or, else, $u(\beta^-) \ge u(\beta^+)$ and $u'(\beta^-) = u'(\beta^+) = -\infty$

Existence, non-existence, multiplicity of positive BV solutions Assume (*a*). Then:

- $(\operatorname{super} Q_0)$ and $(\operatorname{super} L_{\infty}) \implies \forall \lambda > 0 \exists \geq 1 \text{ pos. BV sol.}$
- $(\operatorname{sub} Q_0)$ and $(\operatorname{sub} L_\infty) \implies \forall \lambda > 0 \exists \ge 1 \text{ pos. BV sol.}$
- $(\operatorname{sub} Q_0)$ and $(\operatorname{super} L_\infty) \implies \forall \lambda \ll 1 \exists \ge 2 \text{ pos. BV sols}$
- $\langle \lambda \ll 1 \exists \ge 2 \text{ pos. BV sols}$
- (super Q_0) and (sub L_∞) $\implies \forall \lambda \gg 1 \exists \ge 2 \text{ pos. BV sols}$ and $\forall \lambda \ll 1 \not\exists \text{ pos. BV sol.}$

- $a(\cdot)$ one sign a.e. in $]\alpha, \beta[\implies u \in W^{2,1}_{loc}(\alpha, \beta) \cap W^{1,1}(\alpha, \beta)$
- $a(x) \ge 0$ a.e. in $]\alpha, \beta[$ and $a(x) \le 0$ a.e. in $]\beta, \gamma[\implies u \in W^{2,1}_{loc}(\alpha, \gamma)$ or, else, $u(\beta^-) \ge u(\beta^+)$ and $u'(\beta^-) = u'(\beta^+) = -\infty$

Existence, non-existence, multiplicity of positive BV solutions Assume (*a*). Then:

- (super Q_0) and (super L_{∞}) $\implies \forall \lambda > 0 \exists \geq 1$ pos. BV sol.
- $(\operatorname{sub} Q_0)$ and $(\operatorname{sub} L_\infty) \implies \forall \lambda > 0 \exists \ge 1 \text{ pos. BV sol.}$
- $(\operatorname{sub} Q_0)$ and $(\operatorname{super} L_\infty) \implies \forall \lambda \ll 1 \exists \ge 2 \text{ pos. BV sols}$ and $\forall \lambda \gg 1 \not\exists \text{ pos. BV sol.}$
- (super Q_0) and (sub L_∞) $\implies \forall \lambda \gg 1 \exists \ge 2 \text{ pos. BV sols}$ and $\forall \lambda \ll 1 \not\exists \text{ pos. BV sol.}$

- $a(\cdot)$ one sign a.e. in $]\alpha, \beta[\implies u \in W^{2,1}_{loc}(\alpha, \beta) \cap W^{1,1}(\alpha, \beta)$
- $a(x) \ge 0$ a.e. in $]\alpha, \beta[$ and $a(x) \le 0$ a.e. in $]\beta, \gamma[\implies u \in W^{2,1}_{loc}(\alpha, \gamma)$ or, else, $u(\beta^-) \ge u(\beta^+)$ and $u'(\beta^-) = u'(\beta^+) = -\infty$

Existence, non-existence, multiplicity of positive BV solutions Assume (*a*). Then:

- (super Q_0) and (super L_{∞}) $\implies \forall \lambda > 0 \exists \geq 1$ pos. BV sol.
- $(\operatorname{sub} Q_0)$ and $(\operatorname{sub} L_\infty) \implies \forall \lambda > 0 \exists \ge 1 \text{ pos. BV sol.}$
- $(\operatorname{sub} Q_0)$ and $(\operatorname{super} L_\infty) \implies \forall \lambda \ll 1 \exists \ge 2 \text{ pos. BV sols}$ and $\forall \lambda \gg 1 \not\exists \text{ pos. BV sol.}$
- (super Q_0) and (sub L_∞) $\implies \forall \lambda \gg 1 \exists \ge 2 \text{ pos. BV sols}$ and $\forall \lambda \ll 1 \not\exists \text{ pos. BV sol.}$

- $a(\cdot)$ one sign a.e. in $]\alpha, \beta[\implies u \in W^{2,1}_{loc}(\alpha, \beta) \cap W^{1,1}(\alpha, \beta)$
- $a(x) \ge 0$ a.e. in $]\alpha, \beta[$ and $a(x) \le 0$ a.e. in $]\beta, \gamma[\implies u \in W^{2,1}_{loc}(\alpha, \gamma)$ or, else, $u(\beta^-) \ge u(\beta^+)$ and $u'(\beta^-) = u'(\beta^+) = -\infty$

Existence, non-existence, multiplicity of positive BV solutions Assume (*a*). Then:

- (super Q_0) and (super L_{∞}) $\implies \forall \lambda > 0 \exists \ge 1$ pos. BV sol.
- $(\operatorname{sub} Q_0)$ and $(\operatorname{sub} L_\infty) \implies \forall \lambda > 0 \exists \ge 1 \text{ pos. BV sol.}$
- $(\operatorname{sub} Q_0)$ and $(\operatorname{super} L_\infty) \implies \forall \lambda \ll 1 \exists \ge 2 \text{ pos. BV sols}$ and $\forall \lambda \gg 1 \exists \text{ pos. BV sol.}$
- (super Q_0) and (sub L_{∞}) $\implies \forall \lambda \gg 1 \exists \ge 2 \text{ pos. BV sols}$ and $\forall \lambda \ll 1 \not\exists \text{ pos. BV sol.}$

- $a(\cdot)$ one sign a.e. in $]\alpha, \beta[\implies u \in W^{2,1}_{loc}(\alpha, \beta) \cap W^{1,1}(\alpha, \beta)$
- $a(x) \ge 0$ a.e. in $]\alpha, \beta[$ and $a(x) \le 0$ a.e. in $]\beta, \gamma[\implies u \in W^{2,1}_{loc}(\alpha, \gamma)$ or, else, $u(\beta^-) \ge u(\beta^+)$ and $u'(\beta^-) = u'(\beta^+) = -\infty$

Strict positivity and regularity

Strict positivity

- $a(\cdot)$ changes sign finitely many times, f loc. Lipschitz in $[0, +\infty[$
 - \implies $u \gg 0$ and u is a special function of bounded variation



Existence of strong solutions

Assume (a). Then:

- $F(s) \approx s^{p}, 1$ $<math>\exists \ge 1 \text{ strong solution } u > 0$
- $F(s) \approx s^{p}, p > 2, \text{ at } 0 \implies \forall \lambda \gg 1$ $\exists \ge 1 \text{ strong solution } u \gg 0$
- *F*(*s*) ≈ *s*², at 0 ⇒ ∀ λ close to the principal positive EV λ₀ ∃ ≥ 1 strong solution *u* ≫ 0

Remark. The assumption (a) implies that

$$\begin{cases} -u'' = \lambda a(x)u & \text{in }]0,1[, u'(0) = u'(1) = 0 \end{cases}$$

has two principal eigenvalues $\lambda = 0$ and $\lambda = \lambda_0 > 0$.

Existence of strong solutions

Assume (a). Then:

• $F(s) \approx s^{p}, 1$ $<math>\exists > 1$

 $\exists \geq 1$ strong solution u > 0

•
$$F(s) \approx s^{p}, p > 2, \text{ at } 0 \implies \forall \lambda \gg 1$$

 $\exists \ge 1 \text{ strong solution } u \gg 0$

F(*s*) ≈ *s*², at 0 ⇒ ∀ λ close to the principal positive EV λ₀ ∃ ≥ 1 strong solution *u* ≫ 0

Remark. The assumption (a) implies that

$$\begin{cases} -u'' = \lambda a(x)u & \text{in }]0,1[,\\ u'(0) = u'(1) = 0 \end{cases}$$

has two principal eigenvalues $\lambda = 0$ and $\lambda = \lambda_0 > 0$.

Topological structure: qualitative bifurcation diagrams

The above results can be grafically summarized as follows:



• $||u||_{\infty}$ is plotted, in ordinates, versus λ , in abscissas

dotted line denotes BV solutions, solid line denotes strong solutions

Topological structure: qualitative bifurcation diagrams



• $||u||_{\infty}$ is plotted, in ordinates, versus λ , in abscissas

dotted line denotes BV solutions, solid line denotes strong solutions

Topological structure: qualitative bifurcation diagrams



- $||u||_{\infty}$ is plotted, in ordinates, versus λ , in abscissas
- dotted line denotes BV solutions, solid line denotes strong solutions

Questions

Does really the solution set contain connected components as those depicted in the preceding diagrams?

Using the Crandall-Rabinowitz theorem and the invariance under homotopy of the topological degree, we can get some partial information, namely, the existence of connected components of strong solutions, in the three cases:

$$- F$$
 quadratic, $F(s) \approx s^2$, at 0

- F subquadratic, $F(s) \approx s^p$, 1 , at 0
- *F* superquadratic, *F*(*s*) \approx *s*^{*p*}, *p* > 2, at 0.

Yet, no information is provided with reference to the following questions:

- * What is the structure of the set of the singular BV solutions?
- * Does the solution set contain global connected components including both regular and singular solutions?

Questions

Does really the solution set contain connected components as those depicted in the preceding diagrams?

Using the Crandall-Rabinowitz theorem and the invariance under homotopy of the topological degree, we can get some partial information, namely, the existence of connected components of strong solutions, in the three cases:

$$- F$$
 quadratic, $F(s) \approx s^2$, at 0

- F subquadratic, $F(s) \approx s^{p}$, 1 , at 0
- *F* superquadratic, *F*(*s*) \approx *s*^{*p*}, *p* > 2, at 0.

Yet, no information is provided with reference to the following questions:

- * What is the structure of the set of the singular BV solutions?
- * Does the solution set contain global connected components including both regular and singular solutions?

Questions

Does really the solution set contain connected components as those depicted in the preceding diagrams?

Using the Crandall-Rabinowitz theorem and the invariance under homotopy of the topological degree, we can get some partial information, namely, the existence of connected components of strong solutions, in the three cases:

$$- F$$
 quadratic, $F(s) \approx s^2$, at 0

- F subquadratic, $F(s) \approx s^{p}$, 1 , at 0
- *F* superquadratic, *F*(*s*) \approx *s*^{*p*}, *p* > 2, at 0.

Yet, no information is provided with reference to the following questions:

- * What is the structure of the set of the singular BV solutions?
- * Does the solution set contain global connected components including both regular and singular solutions?

Global bifurcation

To answer (partially) these questions, we focus on the following simple, but significant, case:

Assumptions

- the weight *a* changes sign once in [0, 1], namely, we assume $a \in L^{\infty}(0, 1)$ satisfies $\int_{0}^{1} a(x) dx < 0$ and there is $z \in]0, 1[$ such that a(x) > 0 a.e. in]0, z[and a(x) < 0 a.e. in]z, 1[
- the potential *F* is quadratic at 0 and has polynomial growth, namely, we assume $f \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$ satisfies f(s)s > 0 for all $s \neq 0$, f'(0) = 1, and, for some constants $\kappa > 0$ and p > 2, $|f'(s)| \leq \kappa (|s|^{p-2} + 1)$ for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$.

Global bifurcation

Aims

- to establish the existence of global connected components of the set of the positive BV solutions, emanating from the line of the trivial solutions at the two principal eigenvalues 0 and λ₀ of the linearized problem around 0
- to prove that the solutions in these components are regular, as long as they are small, while they may develop jump singularities at the node of the weight function *a*, as they become larger, thus showing the coexistence along the same component of both regular and singular solutions

Notation

•
$$S^+ = \{(\lambda, u) \in [0, +\infty) \times BV(0, 1) : u \text{ is a positive solution}\}$$

 $\cup \{(0,0), (\lambda_0,0)\}$

The global bifurcation theorem

There exist two subsets \mathcal{C}_0^+ and $\mathcal{C}_{\lambda_0}^+$ of \mathcal{S}^+ such that

- C₀⁺ and C_{λ₀}⁺ are maximal in S⁺ with respect to the inclusion, are connected in ℝ × BV(0, 1) (endowed with the topology of the strict convergence), and are unbounded in ℝ × L^ρ(0, 1);
- $(0,0) \in \mathcal{C}^+_0$ and $(\lambda_0,0) \in \mathcal{C}^+_{\lambda_0}$;

•
$$\{(0,r):r\in[0,+\infty)\}\subseteq \mathbb{C}^+_0;$$

- if $(\lambda, u) \in \mathcal{C}^+_0 \cup \mathcal{C}^+_{\lambda_0}$ and $u \neq 0$, then $u \gg 0$;
- if $(\lambda, 0) \in \mathcal{C}^+_0 \cup \mathcal{C}^+_{\lambda_0}$ for some $\lambda > 0$, then $\lambda = \lambda_0$;

• either
$$\mathcal{C}_0^+ \cap \mathcal{C}_{\lambda_0}^+ = \emptyset$$
,
or $(\lambda_0, 0) \in \mathcal{C}_0^+$ and $(0, 0) \in \mathcal{C}_{\lambda_0}^+$ and, in such case, $\mathcal{C}_0^+ = \mathcal{C}_{\lambda_0}^+$;

- there exists a neighborhood U of (0,0) in ℝ × L^p(0,1) such that C⁺₀ ∩ U consists of strong solutions;
- there exists a neighborhood V of (λ₀, 0) in ℝ × L^p(0, 1) such that C⁺_{λ₀} ∩ V consists of strong solutions.

Global bifurcation diagrams



Global branches emanating from the two principal eigenvalues 0 and λ_0 : supercritical bifurcation at (0, λ_0), *F* superlinear at infinity.

Global bifurcation diagrams



Global branches emanating from the two principal eigenvalues 0 and λ_0 : subcritical bifurcation at (0, λ_0), *F* sublinear at infinity.

A quick look at the proof

To get most of the conclusions a number of (at least, for us!) non-trivial technical issues must be previously overcome. Among them count:

- searching for the most appropriate global bifurcation setting: the lack of regularity of the solutions forces us to work in the frame of the Lebesgue spaces L^p, with p finite, where the cone of positive functions has empty interior
- reformulating the problem as a suitable fixed point equation for a compact operator
- proving the differentiability of the associated operator
- solving the problem of the preservation of the positivity of the solutions along the components; this is achieved through a (rather delicate) topological argument relying on some technical convergence results for sequences of BV solutions, with respect to the strict topology of BV(0, 1).

Thank you for your attention!



Regularity of the bounded variation solutions

1. Characterize the existence of the strong solutions of

(H)
$$-\left(\frac{u'}{\sqrt{1+{u'}^2}}\right)' = h(x)$$
 in]0,1[, $u'(0) = 0, u'(1) = 0$,

with $h \in L^1(0, 1)$:

problem (*H*) has a strong solution if and only if there exists a constant $\kappa \in]0, 1[$ such that

$$\int_0^1 h\chi_B \, dx \Big| \leq \kappa \int_0^1 |D\chi_B|$$

for every Caccioppoli set $B \subseteq]0, 1[$.

In particular: when $\|h\|_{L^1} < 1$, any bounded variation solution must be strong.

Regularity of the bounded variation solutions - continued

2. Analyze the fine regularity properties of the non-regular bounded variation solutions of problem (H):

when the set of the nodal points of h is discrete, the only singularities that a bounded variation solution of problem (H) can exhibit are jumps, which must be located at the interior points where h changes sign.

In particular: the presence of a Cantor part in the distributional derivative of any bounded variation solution of problem (H) is ruled out, that is, the solutions are special functions of bounded variation.

An auxiliary problem

(A)
$$-\left(\frac{u'}{\sqrt{1+{u'}^2}}\right)'+k(u)=h(x)$$
 in]0,1[, $u'(0)=0, u'(1)=0,$

where

k : ℝ → ℝ is a function of class *C*¹, strictly increasing and odd, which satisfies

$$k'(0)=1$$
 and $\lim_{|s| o +\infty} rac{k'(s)}{|s|^{p-2}}=1, ext{ for some } p \geq 2,$

• $h \in L^{q}(0, 1)$, with $q = \frac{p}{p-1}$.

The associated solution operator

The solution operator

$$\mathcal{P}: L^q(0,1) \to L^p(0,1),$$

which maps *h* onto the unique BV solution u = Ph of (*A*), is completely continuous)

The Fréchet derivative at 0

 \mathcal{P} is differentiable at h = 0 and its derivative is given by the linear operator

$$D\mathcal{P}(0): L^{q}(0,1) \to L^{p}(0,1),$$

which sends any function *h* onto the unique solution $u \in W^{2,q}(0,1)$ of

-u'' + u = h(x) in]0, 1[, u'(0) = 0, u'(1) = 0 (linearization of (A))

Fixed point reformulation

The original problem can be reformulated as an operator equation

 $\mathcal{N}(\lambda, u) = 0$ in $L^{p}(0, 1)$,

with N a compact perturbation of the identity. Due to the differentiability of P at u = 0, N can be decomposed as

 $\mathcal{N}(\lambda, u) = \mathcal{L}(\lambda)u + \mathcal{R}(\lambda, u),$

with $\mathcal{L}(\lambda)$ Fredholm of index 0 and $\mathcal{R}(\lambda, u) = o(||u||_p)$.

Unilateral bifurcation

Thus, we are within the functional setting suited for applying a generalized version of the Rabinowitz unilateral bifurcation theorem, at both principal eigenvalues 0 and λ_0 of the linearization at 0. This yields the existence of two connected components of the solution set emanating from (0, 0) and (λ_0 , 0), respectively, and constituted of positive

regular solutions near the bifurcation points.

Unbounded subcomponents of positive solutions

Since we are working in $L^{p}(0, 1)$, we cannot guarantee that the whole obtained components consist of positive solutions.

Thus, the remainder of the proof is basically devoted to prove that each of these components contains an unbounded subcomponent \mathcal{C}_0^+ and $\mathcal{C}_{\lambda_0}^+$, respectively, consisting of (strictly) positive solutions.

This is achieved through a (rather delicate) topological argument relying on some technical convergence results for sequences of BV solutions, with respect to the strict topology of BV(0, 1).

The special nodal structure of the weight function $a(\cdot)$ plays here a relevant role.

Thank you for your attention!

