Structural Analysis of Sails including Composite Material Model
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SUMMARY. The effective design of sails requires a combined activity in which structural and aerodynamic studies need to be performed. Nowadays, the performances of a sail can be evaluated by CFD calculations, which allow to assess its behavior to different wind conditions. However, the description of a sail as a rigid boundary can lead to unacceptable approximations: its membrane structure is very sensitive, in terms of deformation, to changes in the local pressure on its surfaces due to different flow conditions and different trimming. This leads to the need of aerodynamic and structural combined models.

One important concern of a designer is the weight, which has to be as low as possible. Conversely, the sail has to resist to the stresses due to the aerodynamic loads. In addition to the (obvious) issue of strength, a very important feature is sail stiffness: given a certain flow condition, the deformed shape of the sail depends on its stiffness, and vice versa, the local flow will depend on the deformed shape. So a great improvement in sail’s performances can be done if the stiffness is distributed on the sail surface, using as a criterion the deformed shape which the designer wants to obtain for a reference flow condition.

In this paper, a combined aerodynamic and structural analysis is performed on the mainsail of a 130-feet fast cruising sloop. It is a simplified analysis, in which the aerodynamic load is calculated from a CFD analysis on an expected deformed of the sail, evaluated by the analysis of photographs during navigation. The aerodynamic load is applied to a Non-Linear structural model of the sail, which reflects the actual distribution of stiffness of the real sail. The effects of regulations which can be done during navigation (flexural load on the mast, position of the clew angle) on the aerodynamic properties of the profile (distribution of twist and camber) will be shown, as well as the changes in the stresses of carbon fiber yarns.

1 INTRODUCTION

The structural analysis of a sail requires an accurate description of the distribution of stiffness on the sail surface, accounting for the properties of all the materials which are part of the sail. Modern sails are usually manufactured as follows: a composite matrix with kevlar fibers is cut with a defined shape, given by aerodynamic criteria; then a web of carbon fibers is distributed on the sail to give it strength and stiffness. The number of fibers has to be limited in order to contain the total weight. A detailed structural model [1], which describes the fibers’ pattern, allows first to understand if the number of fibers is sufficient to give the necessary strength, but also allow to see how the deformed shape depends on number, position, and orientation of fibers.

A MatLab™ routine was developed, which given the exact pattern of fibers which will be placed on the sail, output a file which contains a web of cable elements. Then the surface of the sail is reconstructed by triangularization of the nodes defined by the web. These elements (cables and triangles) define a Finite Element Model in the Nastran™ environment, which is solved by a Non-Linear approach, needed to properly
describe the large displacement and large deformations due to the pressure and trimming loads.

In addition, a routine which can transfer the aerodynamic loads to the structural model was created, allowing the use of different grids in CFD and structural calculations, and allowing to define in each model the most efficient mesh (e.g. in a CFD calculation, the grid is usually coarse away from the edges, due to the lower gradients of pressure). The deformed shape of the sail is then postprocessed, in order to analyze all the features of the profile at different heights in terms of maximum camber to chord, distance between maximum camber and the leading edge of profile (draft), inlet and outlet flow angle, and twist. This allow to assess the aerodynamic properties of the sail and then decide to change the pattern of carbon fibers to improve them.

2 THE MILLENNIUM PROCESS

The structural model has been developed in cooperation with One Sails Italy, in order to give an accurate description of the distribution of stiffness of the sails which are to be manufactured. In particular, the products which will be analysed are built using the Millennium process. The Millennium sails have a lower denier count (DPI) if compared with those of others sailmakers, but this feature is related to the particular manufacturing process. In the standard manufacturing process, continuous yarns are laid onto the sail surface. Most of sailmakers fix yarns in a certain position by glueing the two sides of the membrane using a resin (which is a mixture of thermosetting epoxide and an hardener) which impregnates the carbon fiber. This will initially produce a very strong, well bonded sail. Over time, however, the resin shows a brittle behaviour, starting to break down as the sail is hoisted, flapped and then folded after use. So the resin becomes a material which adds weight to the sail, without giving stiffness. This means that in time, the weight of the sail remains the same, while stiffness and strength are reduced. Moreover the breaking of the resin leads to delamination, whereby the two sides of the membrane come apart and the sail loses structural strength with nothing to hold the yarns in place. This means that for cruising sails, which are to be used for long periods, it is necessary to use a higher density yarn layout, which provides an higher durability: this means that when the sail is new the number of yarns is superabundant, but this density is needed because as the resin breaks down, a certain amount of yarns will not give no more strength to the structure.

The Millennium process removes resin from the membrane production. The outer coating of the Millennium sail is made from 12 micron Dupont Class A Mylar. On one side of the Mylar, a fine grid of Kevlar is placed and an exclusively developed polymer is applied to hold this grid. The yarns, which are continuous rovings of carbon fibers, are laid uninterrupted on this layer and holded in place until the final bonding stage using a very light adhesive, which starts to evaporate as the fibers are laid down. The second layer of Mylar with fusion polymer is placed down and then pressure and heat loads are applied in order to fuse the two layers of polymer which form a new single layer, which encapsulate but not impregnate the carbon threads, leaving the fibres free to slide against each other. This lead to high strength and durability, using a lower yarn density than those used by other manufacturers. This manufacturing process lead to a very light sail, assuring a reduction of weight which is about 15-30%, depending on the sail dimensions. The new challenge is to additionally optimize the position of the yarns, in order to have the desired stiffness distribution. In particular, yarns have to distributed in order to have, in a reference wind condition, a certain deformed shape which ensures the best aerodynamic behaviour. This can lead also to an additional reduction in weight, removing yarns anywhere the stiffness is superabundant and there is not a significant reduction in sail’s strength.

This means that it is very important to realize a model which reflects the stiffness distribution on the sail. Describing the surface of the sail with 2-D elements, and then defining a composite material for these elements using a medium value of the density of yarns would not give the accuracy that we want to achieve. So it was decided to realize a structural model which includes 1-D elements. These elements are created
using the same drawing which is used by the manufacturing unity to lay the yarns down on the polymer. Of course, in order to have reasonable calculation times, each yarn is not described by a 1D element, but each 1D element define a group of 40 aligned yarns.

3 CFD ANALYSIS

The CFD calculation is needed to calculate the aerodynamic loads acting on the sail. The pressure distribution on the sail surface is computed using the HYDRO code, which is an incompressible version of the TRAF code [2]. TRAF code is a fully viscous, unsteady, multi-grid, multi-block code developed by the University of Florence, capable of solving 3D flows using non periodic H-type or C-type grids. The temporal discretization of the time-dependent equations is achieved using an explicit four-stage Runge-Kutta scheme until the steady state solution is reached.

Sails and mast are described as a rigid boundary with no-slip condition, and aerodynamic quantities are given at inlet (total pressure and flow angles) and outlet (static pressure). These quantities are defined using a Velocity Prediction Program (VPP) [3].

The deformed shape of the sail is described using Bezier Curves, superimposed on the pictures taken during navigation on three or more draft stripes (usually at 25, 50 and 75% of the span of the sail) (Fig. 1).

The knowledge of these profiles together with the planform and the principal measurement of the rig allow to reconstruct the three-dimensional geometry through the use of a simple Fortran program.

The output of the CFD is a file in which the differential pressure between pressure side and suction side is given for each grid point defined on the sail.

Figure 1: Principal Parameters used to describe the profile.
4 FINITE ELEMENT MODELING

The Finite Element Model has been developed in the MSC Nastran™ environment. MSC Nastran™ can be considered a standard in structural analysis, and is able to model problems in which there is a geometric Non-Linearity and large displacements. A sail is modeled as a membrane, so its capability of carrying pressure loads depends on the deflection of the sail. The dependence of stiffness on the deformation of the sail means that the problem is Non-Linear, and the solution is obtained in MSC Nastran™ using an iterative solver. The load is divided in subsequent increments, and for each increments an iterative process calculates a converging solution. Because of the low capability to carry pressure loads in the undeformed configuration, it is necessary to define in the first increments a very low percentage of the actual load (we defined 1/1000 of the actual load) in order to reach convergence. Nastran™ is capable to solve the structural problem, but what we want to realize is a procedure which has to be as simplest as possible, which given the actual distribution of yarns in the sail and the aerodynamic loads, can calculate stresses and deformed shape of the sail. So a MatLab™ routine has been developed. The pattern which describes the yarns as they will be posed on the membrane is described in a CAD file. From this file, a text file can be exported. The sailmaker has been asked to create a text file in which each row describes a segment of yarn, reporting the 3D coordinates of the extremity points. Each segment will be described as rectilinear, so it is necessary to divide properly each yarn in order to reduce the error in the model. The text file is imported in MatLab™, and then each segment is divided in a proper number of elements, in order to have a quite homogeneous discretization in the whole sail. The yarn’s pattern is the only geometric information which is imported. The composite matrix is described by triangularization of the points which define the yarn’s segments. Several commercial and non-commercial softwares exist, which given a 3D points cloud can create a mesh of triangular elements. In order to simplify the process, we decided not to use an external routine, but to apply the MatLab™ function delaunay [4]. It’s important to remark that the delaunay routine can be applied only to a set of points which lie on a plane. So it is necessary to project all the points defined by yarn’s segments on a plane, and then apply the delaunay process, which returns a set of triangles such that no data points are contained in any triangle’s circumscribed circle. Then the original coordinates are re-assigned to all points, in order to define the 3D triangularization of the sail surface. Triangles are then checked, in order to delete elements with a particularly bad shape, which can create problems in the convergence of structural analysis. In particular the cosine of internal angles is checked, and if one of internal angles exceeds the upper limit (178°) or the lower limit (2°), the element is deleted. The number of elements usually deleted by this check is little (less than 0.1% of the elements) and has no significant influence in the results. The structural analysis requires also boundary conditions, which depends on the sail. For the mainsail the deflection of the mast and the position of the clew angle are assigned. For a genoa, it is also necessary to model the forestay. In this first application, an iterative process has been realized in order to find the boundary conditions which, in certain wind conditions, will give a deformed close to the target deformed, defined by a distribution of twist and camber on the height of the sail.

Material properties and thickness of the composite membrane (Mylar + polymer + kevlar web) as well as material properties and diameter of the carbon fiber yarns are assigned using data supplied by vendors.

5 REMAPPING

The CFD calculations have different requirements with respect to the structural analysis. The CFD grid needs to be refined near the edges, while is coarser away from them due to the lower pressure gradients. Conversely, the position of nodes in the structural model is defined by the position of the carbon fibers. The structural evaluation needs an iterative solution with the CFD calculation. The pressure, calculated by the CFD model, is the boundary condition for the FEM analysis; then the deformed calculated by the structural model is the boundary of the CFD analysis. In this first application, we decided to avoid the iterative process.
The CFD calculation is done using the target deformed shape of the sail which is obtained by photographs during navigation (Fig. 1).

Then the boundary condition in the structural model, such as the deflection of the mast and the position of the clew angle, are defined in order to obtain the target deformation. Using different grids for CFD and FEM model require a procedure which given the pressure in the CFD grid, is able to calculate the pressure in the FEM grid. It’s important to stress that the two grids are defined in two different shaped surfaces: the CFD grid covers the target deformed of the sail, while the FEM grid covers the real surface in which the sail is manufactured. Moreover they are defined in arbitrary reference systems. In order to realize the post process, the sail is described as a triangular quadratic element (with 6 nodes, defined in the vertices and one on each edge). The position of these reference nodes is measured in the FEM grid (undeformed shape) and in the CFD grid (deformed shape). Then the position of each point in the FEM grid is transformed in an expected deformed point. The transformation is defined in order to remap the 6 reference nodes of the undeformed shape in the 6 reference nodes in the deformed shape. This does not lead to a perfect superposition of the transformed FEM shape and the deformed CFD shape, but helps the remapping because reduces the difference between FEM shape and CFD shape.

The remapping is done using the three closest point algorithm. Given the position of a point in the FEM grid, in which we want to calculate the local pressure, the subroutine finds the three closest points of the CFD grid. Then the angles between the segments which connects the three points are calculated. If these points are nearly lined up, an interpolation in 1D isoparametric elements is done; otherwise an interpolation using 2D isoparametric elements is used. The module of the cosine of the segments which connects the three nearest points is calculated; if all of them are less than 0.99 (this means that the angle between each pair of segments is more than 8.5 degrees) the three points are not supposed to be lined up (Fig. 2). The area coordinates are calculated as follows (Eq. 1):

$$
\xi_1 = \frac{\text{area}(PN_2 N_3)}{\text{area}(N_1, N_2, N_3)} \quad \xi_2 = \frac{\text{area}(PN_1 N_3)}{\text{area}(N_1, N_2, N_3)} \quad \xi_3 = \frac{\text{area}(PN_1 N_2)}{\text{area}(N_1, N_2, N_3)}
$$

(1)

Then the physical quantity of interest, which is, for our application, the pressure on sail’s surface, is calculated as in Eq. 2:

$$
Pressure(Q) = \xi_1 P(N_1) + \xi_2 P(N_2) + \xi_3 P(N_3)
$$

(2)
If the 3 closest points are aligned, a monodimensional interpolation is performed (Fig. 3), using Lagrange’s interpolating functions of the second order (Eq. 3).

\[ \text{Pressure}(s) = L_1(s)P(N_1) + L_2(s)P(N_2) + L_3(s)P(N_3) \]

\[ L_i(s) = \prod_{k=1, k \neq i}^{k=3} \frac{s - s_k}{s_i - s_k} \]  

6 POSTPROCESSING AND RESULTS

MSC Nastran™ produces in output a binary file containing all the displacements of nodes and all deformations and tension on the 1D and 2D elements. A session file in MSC Patran™ will convert this file in a text file, containing all the quantities we want to evaluate. In particular, in this first application, the loads acting on the clew angle, the stresses on the carbon fiber yarns and the position of all points of the sail are extracted. Then a MatLab™ routine will calculate the twist and camber for a certain number of sections obtained by intersecting the sail with a horizontal plane at different heights. Figure 4 shows the sail’s profile in unloaded and loaded conditions at three different heights: 25, 50 and 75%. In each section it is possible to calculate inlet and outlet flow angle, twist and camber to chord.

From the following images, it is possible to see how trimming (which means changing the boundary conditions of the structural model) affect the deformed shape of the sail. Figure 5 shows that if the flexural deflection of the mast is reduced from the nominal maximum deflection of 35 cm to a value of 30 cm, the twist of the profile will increase on the whole height of the sail, with a maximum variation of the middle, which becomes a null variation at minimum and maximum height. Reducing the tension of the mast will also increase the maximum camber from the base to almost the 75% of the height; in the higher sections the camber will moderately reduce. By lowering the clew angle the twist will almost remain the same in base and will reduce with the higher extent on top. The camber to chord ratio will also reduce from base to 60% height, while will raise in the highest part of the sail. Figure 9 shows stress on carbon fiber yarns if the clew angle is lowered of 8cm. These yarns have an ultimate load of about 60-70 kg. As we can see, by lowering the clew angle there is a quite extensive region in which stresses will increase, while the load on the clew angle changes from 3.6 tonnes (Fig. 9 (a)) to 6.4 tonnes (Fig. 9 (b)).

7 CONCLUSIONS

The paper presents a MatLab™ routine which allow to create a structural model of a sail using the CAD drawing which describes the carbon fiber yarn’s pattern and the results of a CFD analysis. The postprocessing routine allow also to calculate all the aerodynamic parameters which allow to assess the performances of the sail. The authors presented simple tests in which the effects of regulations on the sail are shown, in
Figure 4: Sections of the sail at 25, 50 and 75% height

Figure 5: Twist distribution vs Maximum mast deflection.
Figure 6: Camber to chord distribution vs Maximum mast deflection.

Figure 7: Twist distribution vs vertical position of the clew angle.
terms of aerodynamic properties of the profile and stresses on yarns.

The tool which has been presented will be adopted to choose the best yarn pattern for a sail, intended as the pattern which will have the desired stiffness distribution (which, in a reference wind condition, will give a target deformed of the sail) together with appropriate strength and weight.

The structural model presented will also be refined, to better represent the features of Millennium sails. In the current model the yarns define a web in which yarns cannot move along their axis direction. This is suitable for the standard manufacturing process, in which resin fixes the position of each yarn, while in the Millennium process yarns are free to slide inside their coating.
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Figure 9: Stress on carbon fiber yarns.

(a) Clew angle in design position.

(b) Clew angle lowered by 8cm.

(c) Legend

- Stress $> 20$ kg
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- $5 < $Stress$ < 10$
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- Stress $< 1$